

This table is prepared pursuant to Clause 11 of the Severe Weather Emergency Recovery (Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency) Order 2023 (the SWER-OIC). Clause 11 requires that:

(1) Before a consent authority issues a resource consent for recovery work, the consent authority must—  
 (a) consider the comments made on the application for resource consent under clause 9; and  
 (b) prepare a summary of the comments.  
 (2) The consent authority must make the summary, together with the consent authority's response to the issues raised in the comments, publicly available on an Internet site at the same time as, or before, the issuing of the resource consent is notified under the RMA.

The following table only includes those persons who provided responses. Additional persons were consulted with but did not return comments.

Specified person in SWER-OIC	Persons identified for consultation	Summary of consultation comments	Consent authority response
a relevant Māori entity	Nga Hapū o Tokomaru Akau	Recommended the Tokomaru Bay Taiao Group "Arahanga Taiao" as a group to work with on environmental monitoring. No conditions were requested.	This comment was noted in the section 42A report, and the consultation comments were provided to the Agency.
	Te Rūnanganui o Ngāti Porou	Identified four key concerns: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the proposed consent conditions do not sufficiently position Te Whānau a Ruataupare and Te Whānau a Te Aotawairangi as decision-makers.</li> <li>2. That the Cultural Impact Assessment provided with the application is not explicitly given effect to by the proposed consent conditions.</li> <li>3. That the conditions referring to kaitiaki advisors be amended to refer directly to Te Whānau a Ruataupare and Te Whānau a Te Aotawairangi.</li> <li>4. That processes for monitoring, compliance, changes to conditions and implementation require stronger involvement of Te Whānau a Ruataupare and Te Whānau a Te Aotawairangi.</li> </ol> Nine additional conditions were requested to address these concerns.	The concerns were noted in the section 42A report, the consultation comments were provided to the Agency, and the nine additional conditions were provided to the Agency as recommended pursuant to clause 10 of the OIC.
the Minister of Conservation	Hon Tama Potaka	Identified three key concerns, but did not request additional or amended conditions. The concerns are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The management of native bat populations.</li> <li>2. The provision of ongoing fish passage once the works are complete.</li> <li>3. The salvage and relocation of stranded fish populations during the works.</li> </ol>	These concerns were noted in the section 42A report, and the consultation comments were provided to the Agency.
any network utility operator that undertakes a network utility operation in, on, or under the land where the work is to be undertaken	Chorus New Zealand	Noted that Chorus is working with the applicant and does not have any concerns or questions in relation to the application.	This was noted in the section 42A report and no further action was required.
any other person as the consent authority considers appropriate, provided that the consent authority is satisfied that the person has an interest in the application for resource consent that is greater than the interest of the general public	Eastern Fish and Game Council	Noted that there is no evidence of trout in the river system where works are proposed, and that Fish and Game has no concerns with the proposal with regard to sports fish or game birds.	This was noted in the section 42A report and no further action was required.